

App F IX 4

Previous References

ml 99-44-11  
ml 124-40-6, 7, 8, 9

1944  
Municipal

ml File No 91-44  
Serial Nos 3, 4

Later References

## GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

---

Serial Nos 125

Part B for October 6, 1944

---

### SUBJECT

Naming the Shandy Maidan  
at Kankarholla as 'Eavar Kar  
Maidan'

---

~~the D.C. Bangalore~~

filed without final orders

16/2

1334/2 1812  
A H1

1)

From the D C Bangalore D C

No M 6, 26/44-45 d 19-8-44

Fds the resolution d 4-6-44 passed by the  
Kankanhalli Town Municipal Council, in the matter  
of naming the Shandy Mandan at Kankanhalli  
as Savarkar Mandan with a view to commemorate  
the visit of Mr Savarkar to the All India Hindu Mahasabha  
leader to the Kankanhalli town on 12-4-44 and of his  
laying the foundation stone to Kalaimas temple at  
the place

1)

Submitted for kind perusal and orders

SEOR  
2795  
344  
22

12/9

Law Secy L  
8/9/44

2) many precedents

as  
9-8-44

3) collection nos 99-41 and 124-40, are put up

As no other precedents are traceable

12/9

The proposal does not  
require sanction of Govt

In this connection kind reference  
 is invited to see SS (K) of  
 the Mysore Zilla (this vol 43),  
 acco<sup>d</sup> to which <sup>it is</sup> the dup<sup>l</sup> of the Mch  
 concerned to attend to the  
 of Nam<sup>g</sup> of sheets & number of  
 knots ~~has been~~ left to the  
 the word of sheet & has been defined -  
 see 3 (18) of the Act ~~and~~ so  
 as to include such vacant space  
 as a Shami Maidan  
 the refce seems to have been made  
 as it would acquire a little political  
 significance if <sup>there</sup> ~~it~~ is called as  
 or Savakar Maidan and there may be  
 possible repercussions on the  
 scene between the two communities  
 of Hindus & Muslims at the place  
 No orders of Govt appear necessary in  
 the matter

Lawsecy for 12/9/44

The DC's opinion may be  
 called for first

for 13/9/44



Submitted with a draft -  
 TT - Jo DC & B/one  
 - 28/9/44 & 2-10-44  
 Calls for further information  
 Issued as revised for 15/9/44  
 Sus A for 14/9  
 24/2/44

Letter

A reminder does not seem necessary  
as no further reports  
have been received even though four  
months have passed. This may be  
removed from the list of things  
being put up if no report is  
received for the above.

3/2/52

B

2/2/52

Continuation Sheet

File No  
Current No

of

Page

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|

Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
Bangalore District,  
Bangalore, dated 19th August 1944

NY 91-44-3

1334/4-

Mahomed Ismail Sheriff, Esq, B.A ,  
Deputy Commissioner  
Bangalore,-District, Bangalore

C.Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq, B.A.B.L.,  
Secretary to Government  
Law Department, Bangalore

Sir,

1 Mr S Murugendra Swamy, M A , Amildar  
President.

2 K. Changiah Setty, Sub Overseer  
3. M. Rama Rao

marginally

noted members/

4. Mr. Linge Gowda, B.A.
- 5/ M. Putte Gowda
- 6/ D. Suryanarayana Setty
7. Bandi Veerappa
8. Hutchappa and
9. Samba Sivaiah

members were  
present at the meeting  
while passing the

-----  
above resolution.

I have the honour to be


Submitted under a draft callus for  
a copy of the HL Resolution

Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,

*[Signature]*  
Deputy Commissioner  
Bangalore District

*29/8*

*Done*  
*29/8*



To the D. C. Bangalore Dist -  
d. 20 41 d 30-8-44  
- Calls for the above Resolution

Sus A

*29/8*

No. 20420 ~~20420~~ (1)  
The District Bangalore  
District

Sir,

With reference to your

No. 1626 D 19-8-44, I am direct  
44-45

ed to request you to be so good  
as to forward the copy of the

Resolution of the Kan Kanahally  
Tehsil Municipal Council, in the

matter of naming the Shanchy  
Mandan, at the place as  
Savanekar Mandan". And to

have been enclosed but  
not received in this office

at hand  
for day law



GEN & REV SECRETARIAT

No L2042 Bangalore - 30 August 1944.

From,

*The Secretary to the Government of His Highness*

*the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

Law Department

The Deputy Commissioner,  
Bangalore District

Sir,

With reference to your No M.6  
26/44-45, dated the 19th August  
1944, I am directed to request you  
to be so good as to forward the  
copy of the Resolution of the Kan-  
kanhalli Town Municipal Council,  
in the matter of naming the Shandy  
Maidan at the place, as "Savarkar  
Maidan", said to have been enclose  
but not received in this office.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*An amach*  
7 Secretary to Government,  
Law Department,  
BANGALORE DISTRICT

RECEIVED DEPUTY COMMISSIONER  
M6  
3 AUG 1944

x x x x x 10 4 x

[illegible]

✕                  ✕                                  ✕                  ✕                                  ✕ ✕

*of my name*

Pr  
Tenn  
Pres



Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )  
the 194

Date of issue 2-10-44

Signed by Mr G R Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No

Serial No

ML  
91-44  
4

No L 2874 of 2-10-44

To

The D-c Bangalore District

Sir,

With reference to your letter no H G, 26/44-45 d 19-8-44, forwarding for <sup>orders</sup> sanction of the Govt, the resolution of the Kankanhally Town Municipal Council, in the matter of naming the Shandy machan at the place, as "Savankar Mandan". I am directed by <sup>State that</sup> ~~request~~ you to be so good as to

in view of reference to sections 55(c) of the Mysore Town Municipalities Act of 1933, ~~according~~

3(18)

to which it is the duty of the Municipal Council concerned to attend to the naming of streets and numbering of houses, etc. The word street has also been defined in Section 3(18) of the said Act, so as to include such vacant space as a Shandy Kalandan, etc. is

It is therefore not clear why sanction of Govt is sought for

2) I am to <sup>add</sup> ~~advise~~ that there may be possible differences on this score, between the two communities, viz. Hindus and Muslims at the place. This aspect of the question may kindly be examined and your opinion may kindly be furnished in the matter.

To enquire whether it is not within the competence of the Local Body to take action in the matter if resolved by the Council, the Special cases involving sanction of Govt is sought. This case may be kindly submitted.

I have the

hon

For Secretary, Law Dept.

Pages 1-12